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### Transportation Spatial Information Infrastructure

A prototype Transportation Spatial Information Infrastructure (TSII) was developed and implemented in this R&D project, based on XML and the emerging standards from the Open Geospatial Consortium (OGC). The components of this TSII include multiple OGC Web Services: two Web Map Services (WMS), a Web Registry Service (WRS), and four Web Feature Services (WFS), which use a standard data transfer format, Geography Markup Language (GML), for the encoding of geographic information. The use of GML as the transfer format enabled the integration of transportation data from multiple sources with different underlying data storage formats, which was then delivered as distinct features and displayed in real time in a standard Web browser. The TSII prototype employs a WMS to deliver real-time traffic data as a Scalable Vector Graphics (SVG) map in a Web browser. Refreshing the SVG map pulls current data from the Ontario Ministry of Transportation (MTO) and City of Toronto traffic sensors from a WFS and redisplays the map’s colour-coded traffic features. Interoperability is one of the main advantages of using an open standard solution and is demonstrated in this project by integrating data from various authorities such as MTO, City of Toronto, and Intergraph.
Ce projet de R&D a consisté à développer et mettre en œuvre un prototype d’infrastructure de données spatiales appliquée aux transports (IDST), fondée sur le langage XML et sur les nouvelles normes de l’OGC (Open Geospatial Consortium). Cette IDST fait appel à plusieurs services Web de l’OGC : deux services de cartes Web (WMS, pour Web Map Services), un service de registres Web (WRS, pour Web Registry Service) et quatre services d’entités Web (WFS, pour Web Feature Service), qui utilisent un format standard de transfert des données, et le langage de balisage géographique (GML, pour Geography Markup Language) pour coder les données géographiques. L’utilisation du GML comme format de transfert a permis l’intégration de données provenant de plusieurs sources et stockées sous des formats différents, la transmission de celles-ci en tant qu’entités distinctes et leur affichage en temps réel sur un fureteur Web standard. L’IDST a recours à un WMS pour transmettre des données de circulation en temps réel sous forme de graphiques vectoriels adaptables ou cartes SVG (Scalable Vector Graphics) sur un fureteur Web. Le fait de rafraîchir la carte SVG extrait d’un WFS les données courantes provenant des détecteurs de circulation du ministère des Transports de l’Ontario (MTO) et de la Ville de Toronto et affiche les entités de circulation à jour par des codes couleur sur la carte. L’interopérabilité s’avère l’un des principaux avantages d’une solution à norme ouverte. Ce projet, qui intègre les données de diverses administrations, telles le MTO, la Ville de Toronto et Intergraph, en est une démonstration éloquente.
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Executive Summary

Introduction
The focus of this R&D project was to develop an ITS spatial infrastructure, based on emerging spatial standards from the Open Geospatial Consortium (OGC) and the World Wide Web Consortium, in a manner consistent with the Canadian ITS Architecture. The OGC Web services used in this R&D project use a standard data transfer format, Geography Markup Language (GML), soon to be an ISO standard (ISO/TC 211 19136) for the encoding of geographic information.

GML is an eXtensible Markup Language (XML) application that is fast becoming the world standard for geographic information delivery over the Internet. Using GML as a data transfer format, geographic information can be integrated from multiple application domains, regardless of what the underlying data storage formats are. This integrated data can then be delivered via web service transactions as distinct features and displayed in a Web browser using any selected map style.

The main goal of this project was to develop a prototype Transportation Spatial Information Infrastructure (TSII) that employs two OGC Web Map Services (WMS) to deliver real-time traffic data as a Scalable Vector Graphics (SVG) map to the end user. By refreshing the SVG map, updated readings from traffic sensors are pulled from a Web Feature Service (WFS) and redisplayed on a colour-coded traffic congestion map. A Web Registry Service (WRS) is an online web service used to discover the component WFSs, WMSs and GML data sets of the TSII. More specifically, the TSII comprises the following:

- A GML Application Schema for ITS based on the Canada ITS Data Element Registry, representing a simplified traffic model chosen to illustrate the handling and integration of dynamic traffic information;
- OGC-compliant implementations of a WFS to integrate and distribute geographic information encoded as GML;
- OGC-compliant implementations of a WMS used to style and display GML data received from a WFS;
- An OGC-compliant implementation of the ebRIM profile of the Catalogue for the Web, otherwise known as a WRS used to discover Web services and GML datasets related to the TSII;
- A WFS Gateway, which converts real-time Ontario Ministry of Transportation (MTO) and City of Toronto sensor data to GML and transfers this to a WFS.

One of the main advantages of adopting an open standard solution over a proprietary one is interoperability, which leads to lower overall costs of maintaining data. Interoperability is demonstrated in this project by integrating static and dynamic transportation data from multiple sources. The sources of data in this project are as follows:
• Road and highway data, including geometry and nonspatial metadata obtained from NAVTEQ, a well-known data supplier worldwide;
• Road and waterbody map data from an ArcSDE/Oracle database delivered via an OGC-compliant WMS hosted by the GIS vendor, Intergraph;
• Loop detector data from MTO, including identification, functionality, real-time sensor readings, and location along Highway 401 in the metro Toronto area;
• Changeable Message sign information from MTO, including real-time message display, identification, and location along Highway 401 in the metro Toronto area;
• Loop detector data from the City of Toronto, including identification, functionality, real-time sensor readings, and location along Don Valley Parkway, Gardener Expressway, and Lake Shore Drive;
• Changeable Message sign information from the City of Toronto, including real-time message display, identification, and location along Don Valley Parkway, Gardener Expressway, and Lake Shore Drive;
• Traffic incident reports from MTO;
• Traffic incident reports from the City of Toronto.

Note that the TSII enables other sources of static and dynamic data (weather sensors, GPS devices, etc.) to be integrated with the existing traffic data, which could then be displayed with the traffic information on the same map.

**TSII Components and Architecture**

The TSII prototype is implemented using four Web Feature Servers, two Web Map Servers and one Web Registry Server at Galdos Systems, and the WFS Gateway at the University of Toronto ITS Lab. Data and communication between these servers in this model are intended to represent the dynamic and distributed data handling of a real-world Intelligent Transportation System.

Figure 1 gives a high-level overview of the TSII prototype architecture. The coloured boxes represent system servers and the labelled arrows indicate the type of transaction executed between the servers. The description of each server/system is summarized in Table 1.
### Table 1 Description of System Servers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System/Server</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WFS Gateway</td>
<td>Converts traffic sensor, incident and CMS data to WFS transactions (Insert, Update) to the dynamic traffic model and incident WFSs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Static TSII Object DB</td>
<td>A WFS that stores and distributes static objects such as sensors and road network data representing the 400 series highways</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic Model DB</td>
<td>A WFS that stores and distributes traffic link data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observation DB</td>
<td>A WFS that stores and distributes loop detector observations, incident reports and current CMS displays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRS Registry</td>
<td>A WRS that stores and allows for the discovery of CRS data (2D, and 1D Linear Reference Systems) and transformations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FreeStyler WMS</td>
<td>A WMS that styles and displays GML data as a map</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Listener</td>
<td>A component of a WFS that detects an incoming transaction and triggers an appropriate action (e.g. replicate or create new feature)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The description of each WFS transaction is summarized in Table 2.

### Table 2 Description of WFS Transactions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transaction</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Insert Feature</td>
<td>Adds a new feature or features with unique ID to the WFS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Update Feature</td>
<td>Modifies an existing feature or features in the WFS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Get Feature</td>
<td>Retrieves a feature or features from the WFS. The features retrieved can be selected by feature type, feature ID, spatial location, or by arbitrary property/attribute values.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Describe Feature</td>
<td>Retrieves the GML schema definition of the feature or features from the WFS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delete Feature</td>
<td>Deletes an existing feature or features in the WFS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Web Feature Service Gateway resides at the University of Toronto and consists of PHP scripts developed by the ITS Lab at the University of Toronto to convert the real-time MTO and City of Toronto data to GML Observations. Insert transaction requests are then created with the newly created XML file as payload and wrapped in the appropriate `<wfs:transaction>` tags. Java classes developed by Galdos Systems Inc. automatically submit the WFS Insert transaction over the Internet using the http post protocol.

The traffic data is delivered to the ITS Lab at the University of Toronto from two serial ports at 19.2k baud, one for MTO and one for the City of Toronto. The physical interface is serial (RS-232)—a multi-kilometre “virtual” serial connection is provided by Siemens OTN fibre optic equipment. The incoming data format is binary, defined by some C structs, which is then serialized. An application then reads the data coming into the ITS Lab and stores it in a local MySQL database.

The main source of dynamic traffic data received by the prototype TSII from MTO and the City of Toronto are loop detector readings. The loop detectors are located in each traffic lane roughly every half kilometre along the Toronto highways. The readings for
all loop detectors are taken every 20 seconds, measuring traffic volume, vehicle occupancy and, for most loop detectors, average speed.

The loop detector readings get converted to GML, which are encoded as a special type of Feature called a *LoopDetectorObservation*. The property values of the *LoopDetectorObservation* store the speed, traffic volume and sensor occupancy. Any other transportation features in the TSII that depend on these readings (e.g. the *Link* feature) are automatically created or updated as each *LoopDetectorObservation* is received by the Observation WFS. The *Link* feature represents a traffic link, which is a segment of the highway associated with a cluster of sensors (loop detectors) that cover all lanes in a single direction of traffic. Traffic links store the length of the highway segment, as well as the average speed and transit time along it, where average speed and transit time are derived from the loop detector observations. A “Listener” component of the Observation WFS monitors the transaction log and performs an Insert *Link* feature transaction to the Traffic Model WFS when a *LoopDetectorObservation* is inserted in the Observation WFS.

Incident reports are logged by human operators and conform to a specific file format. The City of Toronto and MTO each have different incident report formats and both are supported by the GML application schema for ITS.

The MTO and City of Toronto provide the current message display of 40 Changeable Message Signs (CMS) at various locations along the Toronto highways. When a CMS display is received by the ITS Lab, the WFS Gateway converts it to a *MessageSignDisplay* feature encoded as GML and submits an Insert/Update WFS transaction request to the Traffic Observation database (WFS) at Galdos Systems. Users of the TSII with a WFS can receive the current *MessageSignDisplay* by retrieving it from the Observation database (WFS) or also by push replication as in the case of incident reports.

The TSII application schema consists of four XSD files organized shown in Figure 2, where solid arrows indicate inclusion of the target schema by the source and dashed arrows indicate import of the target schema by the source.
Each of the four schemas is stored in the WRS and in each of the corresponding Web Feature Servers: Traffic Observation WFS, Traffic Model WFS, Highway WFS, Sensor WFS.

**RESULTS**

This project combined static road data and real-time loop detector readings in a simple traffic scenario and implemented many of the basic components of the TSII using the four WFSs, two WMSs and the WFS Gateway. WFS software extensions were required and implemented to properly support the automatic update of dynamic features. In particular, the Listener/Action Manager component of Cartalinea (the Galdos WFS implementation) was developed in this project. The WFS Gateway produces LoopDetectorObservations encoded as GML from real-time MTO and City of Toronto sensor readings and supports IncidentReports and current MessageSignDisplays. The Galdos WMS client displays a road map requested from another OGC-compliant WMS from Intergraph and simultaneously portrays the TSII features including colour-coded traffic congestion overlaid on the road map in a standard web browser.
The WMS client screenshot in Figure 3 portrays the TSII features as SVG overlaid on a road map from an Intergraph WMS to produce a simple traffic flow diagram. When a mouse-over event is detected on a TSII feature, an HTML Tooltips text box is created to expose certain GML properties of the feature using JavaScript. The TSII Road features expose only the name (gml:name property value) when moused over, as shown in Figure 3.

The traffic Link features are segments of the highway that are colour-coded from green to red according to Table 3. The colour-coding scheme of the Link features was adapted from that used by the MTO COMPASS Traffic Management System, where the column order is listed in order of priority. If the Average Speed is greater than zero, the colour indicated by the shown cell is used to style the Link symbol. If the average speed is zero, then the Traffic Volume column is used to determine the colour. If the Traffic Volume is zero, then the Sensor Occupancy (percentage of the 20 second interval the loop detector is triggered) column is used to determine the colour. In the case that no reading is reported from the sensor in the 20 second interval, the default value “-1” is set for Average Speed, Traffic Volume and Sensor Occupancy, and the corresponding colour code is grey.
Table 3 Colour coding scheme for Link features

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Average Speed</th>
<th>Traffic Volume</th>
<th>Traffic Occupancy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(km/h)</td>
<td>(vehicle count/20sec/lane)</td>
<td>(%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 100</td>
<td>&gt; 0 and &lt; 4</td>
<td>0 – 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75 – 100</td>
<td>4 – 8</td>
<td>6 – 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 – 75</td>
<td>8 – 12</td>
<td>14 – 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 – 50</td>
<td>12 – 16</td>
<td>22 – 32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 0 and &lt; 25</td>
<td>&gt; 16</td>
<td>&gt; 32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When moused over, the Tooltips text box lists the values of the following properties and attributes of the Link feature:

- `gml:id` (unique identifier)
- `timeStamp` (XML Schema dateTime value)
- `averageSpeed` (in km/h)
- `occupancy` (%)
- `trafficVolume` (vehicle count/20 sec/lane)
- `averageTransitTime` (average time in seconds expected to traverse the Link)

**ANALYSIS**

The overall performance of the TSII prototype can be measured by the total delay from the time of the sensor reading to the instant the data is displayed on screen. Note that each server used in the TSII prototype is a Windows 2000 server that uses dual 2.8 GHz Xeon processors with 2GB DDR SDRAM. The complete data path is illustrated in Figure 4 and is decomposed as a sequence of seven events that are labeled on the diagram. The average duration for each event is measured and briefly described in Table 4.
The first measured event is sensor data preparation and delivery to the ITS lab, which is broken down for the case of loop detectors as follows:

- A vehicle passes over a loop detector embedded under the road surface, creating a disturbance in electric current that is detected by the roadside Advanced Traffic Controller (ATC) device.
- Each ATC collects data (volume, occupancy and speed) over a 20-second interval for several clusters of loop detectors called Vehicle Detector Stations (VDS) in the area.
- A VAX server at MTO receives and stores the data from all ATCs on the highway.
- A process residing on the VAX server polls the database every second and listens for changes. Once a database update is detected, the current data is read and compressed (takes 2.5 seconds on average).
- The compressed data (10 KB on average) is sent through a 19.2 kbps serial link to the University of Toronto ITS lab (transit time is 11 seconds on average).
• The data received at the ITS lab is decompressed and then averaged over all lanes for each VDS before being inserted in a MySQL database (takes 0.9 seconds on average).
• A separate process polls the MTO database every 5 seconds, checking for updated CMS and Incident info. When an update is detected, the data is sent to the ITS lab immediately.

The total time delay corresponding to the sensor data preparation and delivery event is summarized in the first row of Table 4. The corresponding time durations of the remaining six events in the data path are listed in Table 4. Where applicable, the primary protocol or processing technology used in each event is given in parentheses, e.g. (HTTP POST).

### Table 4 TSII Prototype Performance Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EVENT</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>MTO data (seconds)</th>
<th>City of Toronto data (seconds)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sensor data preparation and delivery to ITS lab database</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>18.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>GML conversion (PHP script)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Send request via WFS Gateway (HTTP POST) including network delay</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Observation DB commitment (XHIVE Database)</td>
<td>171.0</td>
<td>110.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Traffic DB update (Java based listener/action manager). This event is concurrent with event 4.</td>
<td>332.5</td>
<td>209.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Get Feature request (HTTP GET)</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Transform GML to SVG (XSLT)</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total Time</strong></td>
<td><strong>361.8</strong></td>
<td><strong>235.19</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CONCLUSION**

The development of the prototype TSII based on GML and OGC web services shows that an open standard solution is capable of supporting dynamic traffic sensor information. Such an open standard solution has clear advantages, such as promoting interoperability, but the performance, although not unacceptable, could be improved. The performance results indicate an average lag time from sensor reading to display of roughly 6 minutes for MTO data and 4 minutes for City of Toronto data. There was insufficient time in this project for further investigation into reducing the lag time by optimizing software configuration, for example, which might have yielded better performance results.

The WMS client used in the TSII prototype retrieved and displayed SVG map data from two different web map servers, where the underlying feature data originated in different databases with different storage formats. The WMS hosted by Galdos requested GML feature data from four WFSs with underlying XHIVE (native XML) databases, and the WMS hosted by Intergraph retrieved data from an ArcSDE/Oracle
database. The TSII implementation thus demonstrated the seamless integration, distribution, and display of transportation data from multiple sources with different underlying data storage formats, thus enabling wide area plug and play of transportation information. Other sources of static and dynamic data (weather sensors, GPS devices, etc.) in any existing database can be connected to the TSII via WFS and the resulting larger pool of transportation data can then be displayed in the same map or retrieved in the same result set for processing. The OGC open standards-based TSII thus enables wide area plug and play for transportation information.
**Sommaire**

**Introduction**


Le GML est une application du langage de balisage extensible (XML, pour *eXtensible Markup Language*) qui est rapidement en train de devenir la norme mondiale pour la transmission de données géographiques sur Internet. En utilisant le GML comme format de transfert de données, les données géographiques de plusieurs domaines d’application peuvent être intégrées, quels que soient les formats sous-jacents de stockage des données. Ces données intégrées peuvent ensuite être transmises en tant qu’entités distinctes par des transactions entre services Web, et être affichées par un fureteur Web sur n’importe quel style de carte choisi.

L’objectif principal de ce projet était de développer un prototype d’infrastructure de données spatiales appliquée aux transports (IDST) utilisant deux services de cartes Web (WMS, pour *Web Map Services*) de l’OGC pour fournir à l’utilisateur final des données en temps réel sur la circulation, sous la forme de graphiques vectoriels adaptables ou cartes SVG (*Scalable Vector Graphics*). En rafraîchissant la carte de SVG, on extrait des lectures à jour des capteurs de circulation d’un service d’entités Web (WFS, pour *Web Feature Service*) et celles-ci sont affichées sur une carte des congestions routières à codes couleur. Un service de registres Web (WRS, pour *Web Registry Service*) est un service Web en ligne utilisé pour découvrir les WFS, les WMS et les ensembles de données GML constitutifs de l’IDST. De façon plus précise, l’IDST comporte ce qui suit :

- un schéma d’application GML pour les STI, fondé sur le registre canadien des éléments de données STI, représentant un modèle simplifié de circulation choisi pour illustrer la manipulation et l’intégration de l’information dynamique sur la circulation;
- des mises en œuvre conformes aux normes de l’OGC d’un WFS pour l’intégration et la distribution des données géographiques codées en GML;
- des mises en œuvre conformes aux normes de l’OGC d’un WMS utilisé pour formatter et afficher des données GML reçues d’un WFS;
- une mise en œuvre conforme aux normes de l’OGC du profil ebRIM du catalogue pour le Web, aussi connu comme un WRS utilisé pour découvrir les services Web et les ensembles de données GML ayant trait à l’IDST;
- une passerelle WFS qui convertit en GML les données en temps réel des capteurs du ministère des Transports de l’Ontario (MTO) et de la Ville de Toronto, et transfère le tout à un WFS.
Un des principaux avantages d’une solution à norme ouverte par rapport à une solution propriétaire réside dans l’interopérabilité, qui permet de réduire l’ensemble des coûts associés à la mise à jour des données. L’interopérabilité est démontrée dans ce projet par l’intégration de données statiques et dynamiques provenant de multiples sources. Voici les sources sollicitées pour le présent projet :

- données sur les routes et les voies rapides, y compris les métadonnées géométriques et non spatiales obtenues de NAVTEQ, un fournisseur de données reconnu à l’échelle mondiale;
- données de cartes routières et de plans d’eau provenant d’une base de données ArcSDE/Oracle, transmises via un WMS conforme aux normes de l’OGC hébergé chez Intergraph, le fournisseur de SIG;
- données de détecteurs à boucle provenant du MTO : identification, fonctionnalité, lectures de capteurs en temps réel et emplacement le long de l’autoroute 401 dans la région métropolitaine de Toronto;
- information sur les panneaux à message variable provenant du MTO : affichage courant, identification et emplacement le long de l’autoroute 401 dans la région métropolitaine de Toronto;
- données de détecteurs à boucle provenant de la Ville de Toronto : identification, fonctionnalité, lectures en temps réel de capteurs et emplacement le long du Don Valley Parkway, du Gardener Expressway et de Lake Shore Drive;
- information sur les panneaux à message variable provenant de la Ville de Toronto : affichage courant, identification et emplacement le long du Don Valley Parkway, du Gardener Expressway et de Lake Shore Drive;
- rapports d’incidents de la circulation du MTO;
- rapports d’incidents de la circulation de la Ville de Toronto.

Il convient de noter que l’IDST permet l’intégration de données statiques et dynamiques d’autres sources (capteurs météorologiques, appareils GPS, etc.) aux données existantes sur la circulation, lesquelles peuvent alors être affichées sur la même carte que les données de circulation.

**Composantes et architecture de l’IDST**

Le prototype d’IDST fait appel à quatre services d’entités Web (WFS), à deux serveurs de cartes Web, à un serveur de registres Web chez Galdos Systems, et à la passerelle WFS du laboratoire STI de l’Université de Toronto. Les données de ces serveurs et leur communication au sein du modèle visent à représenter le traitement de données dynamiques et réparties par un système de transport intelligent réel.

La figure 1 donne un aperçu global de l’architecture du prototype d’IDST. Les boîtes de couleurs représentent les serveurs du système et les flèches indiquent les types de transactions exécutées entre serveurs. Le tableau 1 donne une courte description de chacun des serveurs et des systèmes.
### Tableau 1 - Description des serveurs du système

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Système/Serveur</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Passerelle WFS</td>
<td>Convertit les données des capteurs de circulation, des rapports d’incidents et des PMV (panneaux à message variable) en transactions (insertion, mise à jour) visant les WFS du modèle de circulation dynamique et des incidents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base de données d’objets statiques</td>
<td>WFS qui entrepose et distribue les objets statiques comme les données provenant des capteurs et du réseau routier représentant la série des autoroutes 400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base de données du modèle de circulation</td>
<td>WFS qui entrepose et distribue les données sur l’état de la circulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base de données des observations</td>
<td>WFS qui entrepose et distribue les observations des détecteurs en boucle, les rapports d’incidents et les affichages PMV courants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Registre de coordonnées spatiales</td>
<td>WRS qui entrepose et permet de découvrir des coordonnées spatiales (systèmes de référence linéaire 2D et 1D) et leurs transformations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WMS FreeStyler</td>
<td>WMS qui formate et affiche des données GML sous forme de carte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auditeur</td>
<td>Composante d’un WFS qui détecte une transaction entrante et déclenche une mesure appropriée (p. ex., reproduit ou crée une nouvelle entité)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Le tableau 2 décrit brièvement chaque transaction WFS.

### Tableau 2 - Description des transactions WFS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transaction</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Insertion</td>
<td>Ajoute au WFS une ou des entités à identification unique</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mise à jour</td>
<td>Modifie une entité existante ou des entités existantes du WFS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lecture</td>
<td>Extrait une entité ou des entités du WFS. Les entités extraites peuvent être choisies par type, par identification, par emplacement spatial ou par des valeurs d’attributs arbitraires.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Extrait la définition du schéma GML d’une entité ou d’entités du WFS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suppression</td>
<td>Supprime une entité existante ou des entités existantes du WFS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
La passerelle du service d’entités Web est située à l’Université de Toronto et est composée de scripts PHP développés par le laboratoire STI de l’Université de Toronto pour convertir en observations GML les données en temps réel du MTO et de la Ville de Toronto. Des demandes de transactions d’insertion sont alors créées (la charge étant le fichier XML nouvellement créé) et encadrées des balises \(<wfs:transaction>\) appropriées. Des catégories Java développées par Galdos Systems Inc. soumettent automatiquement la transaction WFS Insertion sur Internet au moyen du protocole http post.

Les données sur la circulation sont acheminées au laboratoire STI de l’Université de Toronto par deux ports série débitant à 19,2 kilobauds, un pour le MTO et l’autre pour la Ville de Toronto. L’interface physique est sérielle (RS-232); une connexion série «virtuelle» de plusieurs kilomètres est assurée par le système à fibre optique OTN de Siemens. Les données entrantes sont en format binaire, définies par des C structs, puis sérialisées. Une application lit ensuite les données arrivant au laboratoire STI et les entrepose dans une base de données MySQL locale.
Les lectures des détecteurs à boucle sont la source principale de données dynamiques de circulation reçues du MTO et de la Ville de Toronto par le prototype d’IDST. Les détecteurs à boucle sont situés sur chaque voie des autoroutes de la région de Toronto, à environ tous les demi-kilomètres. Les lectures sont faites aux vingt secondes; les paramètres mesurés sont le débit de véhicules, l’intensité de détection et, dans la plupart des cas, la vitesse moyenne.

Les lectures des détecteurs à boucle sont converties en GML et sont codées sous un type spécial d’entité appelée LoopDetectorObservation (Observation de détecteur à boucle). Les valeurs d’attribut de cette entité comprennent la vitesse, le débit de véhicules et l’intensité de détection. Toutes les autres entités «transports» qui dépendent de ces lectures (p. ex., l’entité Link – ou Circulation) sont créées ou mises à jour automatiquement au fur et à mesure qu’une LoopDetectorObservation est reçue par le WFS Observations. L’entité Link représente un tronçon de l’autoroute associé à un ensemble de capteurs (détecteurs à boucle) qui couvrent toutes les voies dans une direction. L’entité contient la longueur du tronçon ainsi que la vitesse moyenne et le temps qu’il faut pour parcourir le tronçon en question; la vitesse moyenne et le temps de parcours sont établis à partir des observations des détecteurs à boucle. Une composante «Auditeur» du WFS Observations suit le registre des transactions et exécute une transaction «Insertion d’une entité Link» dans le WFS Modèle de circulation lorsqu’une LoopDetectorObservation est insérée dans le WFS Observations.

Les rapports d’incidents sont enregistrés par des opérateurs humains et respectent un format spécifique de fichier. La Ville de Toronto et le MTO ont chacun leur propre format de rapports d’incidents et les deux formats sont acceptés par le schéma d’application GML pour les STI.

Le MTO et la Ville de Toronto fournissent l’affichage courant de 40 panneaux à message variable (PMV) disposés le long des autoroutes de la région de Toronto. Lorsque le laboratoire STI reçoit l’affichage d’un PMV, la passerelle de WFS le convertit en une entité Affichage PMV codée en GML et soumet une demande de transaction WFS «Insertion/Mise à jour» à la base de données d’observations de la circulation (WFS) chez Galdos Systems Inc. Les utilisateurs de l’IDST desservis par un WFS peuvent recevoir l’Affichage PMV courant en l’extraitant de la base de données Observations (WFS) ou encore en le reproduisant automatiquement, comme dans le cas d’un rapport d’incident.

Le schéma d’application de l’IDST est composé de quatre fichiers XSD, organisés de la façon indiquée à la figure 2. Les flèches continues indiquent l’inclusion du schéma cible dans la source et les flèches en pointillé représentent l’importation du schéma cible par la source.
Figure 2 - Organisation du schéma de l’IDST

Chacun des quatre schémas est entreposé dans le WRS et dans chacun des serveurs d’entités Web correspondants : le WFS Observations de la circulation, le WFS Modèle de circulation, le WFS Routes et le WFS Capteurs.

Résultats

Le projet a consisté essentiellement à combiner des données statiques sur les routes et des lectures en temps réel de détecteurs à boucle en un scénario de circulation simple, et à mettre en œuvre plusieurs des composantes de base de l’IDST en utilisant les quatre WFS, deux WMS et la passerelle WFS. Des extensions de logiciels de WFS ont été nécessaires pour permettre la mise à jour automatique des entités dynamiques. En particulier, la composante Auditeur/Gestionnaire d’actions de Cartalinea (l’application WFS de Galdos) a été développée dans le cadre de ce projet. La passerelle de WFS produit des LoopDetectorObservation qui sont codées en GML à partir des lectures en temps réel des capteurs du MTO et de la Ville de Toronto. Elle accepte également les IncidentReports (Rapports d’incidents) et les MessageSignDisplays (Affichages PMV) courants. Le client du WMS Galdos affiche une carte routière demandée à un autre WMS d’Intergraph, conforme aux normes de l’OGC, et visualise simultanément les
entités de l’IDST, y compris les congestions routières, illustrées par des codes couleur sur la carte affichée par un fureteur Internet standard.

L’écran du WMS montré à la figure 3 présente les entités de l’IDST en tant que SVG superposées à une carte routière provenant d’un WMS d’Intergraph. Il en résulte un diagramme simple du mouvement de la circulation. Lorsqu’un événement onMouseOver est détecté sur une entité de l’IDST, une boîte de texte HTML Tooltips apparaît et indique certains attributs GML de l’entité en JavaScript. Lorsque la souris chevauche une entité Route, seul le nom de la route (valeur d’attribut gml:name) s’affiche, comme le montre la figure 3.

Les entités Link (Circulation) sont des tronçons de l’autoroute qui sont codés par couleur, du vert au rouge, selon le tableau 3. Le schéma des codes couleur s’inspire de celui adopté par le système de gestion de la circulation COMPASS du MTO, selon lequel l’une ou l’autre colonne est affichée selon un ordre de priorité. Ainsi, lorsque la vitesse moyenne est supérieure à zéro, la couleur de la cellule correspondant à la vitesse sert à établir le style du symbole Link (état de la circulation). Mais si la vitesse moyenne est nulle, c’est la colonne débit de véhicules qui sert à déterminer la couleur. Enfin, si le débit de véhicules est nul, c’est la colonne intensité de détection (pourcentage des intervalles de 20 secondes pendant lequel le détecteur à boucle est déclenché) qui sert
À déterminer la couleur. Dans le cas où aucune lecture n’est transmise par le capteur au cours de l’intervalle de 20 secondes, la valeur par défaut est « -1 » pour la vitesse moyenne, le débit de véhicules et l’intensité de détection, et le code couleur correspondant est le gris.

Tableau 3 - Schéma de codes couleur pour les entités Link

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vitesse moyenne (km/h)</th>
<th>Débit de véhicules (compte de véhicules/20 s/voie)</th>
<th>Intensité de détection (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 100</td>
<td>&gt; 0 et &lt; 4</td>
<td>0 - 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75 - 100</td>
<td>4 - 8</td>
<td>6 - 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 - 75</td>
<td>8 - 12</td>
<td>14 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 - 50</td>
<td>12 - 16</td>
<td>22 - 32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 0 et &lt; 25</td>
<td>&gt; 16</td>
<td>&gt; 32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Au passage de la souris, la boîte de texte Tooltips énumère les valeurs des attributs suivants de l’entité Link :

- gml:id (identificateur unique)
- référence temporelle (valeur date/heure du schéma XML)
- vitesse moyenne (en km/h)
- intensité de détection (%)
- débit de véhicules (nombre de véhicules/20 s/voie)
- temps de parcours moyen (temps moyen en secondes prévu pour parcourir le tronçon représenté par l’entité Link)

Analyse

Le rendement global du prototype de l’IDST peut être mesuré par le délai entre le moment où un capteur prend une lecture et l’instant où les données sont affichées sur un écran. Il est à noter que chaque serveur utilisé dans le prototype d’IDST est un serveur Windows 2000 utilisant deux microprocesseurs Xeon à 2,8 GHz, à SDRAM DDR de 2GB. L’ensemble du cheminement des données est montré à la figure 4 et il est décomposé en une séquence de sept événements indiqués sur le diagramme. Le tableau 4 décrit brièvement chaque événement et en indique la durée moyenne.
Le premier événement mesuré consiste en la préparation et la livraison des données des capteurs au laboratoire STI. Dans le cas des détecteurs à boucle, cet événement se décompose comme suit :

- Un véhicule passe sur un détecteur à boucle noyé dans la chaussée, créant une perturbation du courant électrique qui est détectée par le dispositif avancé de contrôle de la circulation (ATC, pour *Advanced Traffic Controller*) placé en bordure de la route.
- Chaque ATC recueille les données (débit, intensité de détection et vitesse) transmises pendant un intervalle de 20 secondes par plusieurs groupes de détecteurs à boucle appelés postes de détection de véhicules (VDS, pour *Vehicle Detector Stations*).
- Un serveur VAX au MTO reçoit et entrepose les données de tous les ATC qui bordent l’autoroute.
- Un processus intégré au serveur VAX prend une lecture de la base de données toutes les secondes et surveille les changements. Lorsqu’une mise à jour est détectée, les données courantes sont lues et comprimées (temps requis : 2,5secondes en moyenne).
• Les données comprimées (en moyenne 10 kilo-octets) sont transmises par un lien en série à un débit de 19,2 kilo-octets/s au laboratoire STI de l’Université de Toronto (temps de transmission : en moyenne 11 secondes).
• Les données reçues par le laboratoire STI sont décompressées puis moyennées pour toutes les voies et chaque VDS, avant d’être insérées dans une base de données MySQL (temps requis : en moyenne 0,9 seconde).
• Un processus distinct prend une lecture de la base de données du MTO toutes les cinq secondes, vérifiant s’il y a eu des mises à jour de l’information sur les PMV et les incidents. Lorsqu’une mise à jour est détectée, les données sont immédiatement envoyées au laboratoire STI.

La durée totale de l’événement «préparation et transmission des données des capteurs» est résumée à la première ligne du tableau 4. Les durées respectives de chacun des six autres événements du cheminement des données sont aussi indiquées au tableau 4. Le cas échéant, la technologie de traitement ou le protocole principal utilisé pour chaque événement est indiqué entre parenthèses, (p. ex., HTTP POST).

Tableau 4 - Fonctionnement du prototype de l’IDST

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ÉVÉNEMENT</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>DURÉE MOYENNE (secondes)</th>
<th>Données du MTO</th>
<th>Données de la Ville de Toronto</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Préparation et transmission à la base de données du laboratoire STI des données provenant des capteurs</td>
<td>20,0</td>
<td>18,00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Conversion en GML (script PHP)</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Envoi d’une requête via la passerelle WFS (HTTP POST) y compris les délais du réseau</td>
<td>1,6</td>
<td>1,10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Engagement de la base de données Observations (base de données XHIVE)</td>
<td>171,0</td>
<td>110,50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Mise à jour des données sur la circulation (auditeur/gestionnaire d’actions sur Java). Cet événement est concurrent de l’événement 4.</td>
<td>332,5</td>
<td>209,00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Requête Lecture d’une entité (HTTP LIRE)</td>
<td>5,5</td>
<td>5,00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Conversion de GML à SVG (XSLT)</td>
<td>2,1</td>
<td>2,00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temps total</td>
<td>361,8</td>
<td>235,19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusion

Le développement du prototype de l’IDST, fondé sur le GML et sur les services Web de l’OGC démontre qu’une solution à norme ouverte peut accepter des données dynamiques provenant de capteurs de circulation. Une telle solution offre des avantages évidents, notamment l’interopérabilité, mais le rendement, bien qu’acceptable, pourrait être amélioré. Les résultats touchant le rendement indiquent un délai d’environ six minutes entre le moment où les capteurs prennent la lecture et l’affichage, pour ce qui est des données du MTO, et de quatre minutes, pour ce qui est des données de la Ville de Toronto. Le temps a manqué pour examiner plus en profondeur des moyens de réduire les délais, par exemple en optimisant la configuration des logiciels, ce qui aurait pu améliorer le rendement.
Le client WMS utilisé aux fins de l’étude récupérait et affichait les cartes SVG de deux serveurs de cartes Web distincts, dont les données d’entités sous-jacentes provenaient de bases de données différentes et étaient stockées sous des formats différents. Le WMS hébergé chez Galdos Systems faisait des requêtes d’entités en GML à quatre WFS à bases de données sous-jacentes XHIVE (XML natif), tandis que le WMS hébergé chez Intergraph récupérait les données d’une base de données ArcSDE/Oracle. La mise en œuvre de l’IDST a donc révélé une intégration, une distribution et un affichage sans coupure de données de transport provenant de plusieurs sources et stockées sous des formats différents, ce qui rend possible une infrastructure «prête à utiliser» et à couverture étendue de données sur les transports. D’autres sources de données statiques et dynamiques (capteurs de conditions météorologiques, appareils GPS, etc.), réunies dans n’importe quelle base de données, peuvent être reliées à l’IDST via un WFS. L’ensemble élargi de données sur les transports ainsi constitué peut alors être affiché sur la même carte ou récupéré en un même ensemble de résultats pour traitement. L’IDST fondé sur une solution à norme ouverte de l’OGC ouvre donc la voie à un système prêt à utiliser et à couverture étendue pour les données en transports.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The focus of this R&D project was to develop an ITS spatial infrastructure, based on emerging spatial standards from the Open Geospatial Consortium (OGC) and the World Wide Web Consortium, and in a manner consistent with the Canadian ITS Architecture and Data Element Registry. The OGC is an international standards organization that is leading the development of standards for geospatial and location-based services. Through member-driven consensus programs, the OGC works with government, private industry, and academia to create open and extensible Web services and software application programming interfaces for geographic information systems (GIS). The OGC Web services used in this R&D project use a standard data transfer format, Geography Markup Language (GML), soon to be an ISO standard (ISO/TC 211 19136) for the encoding of geographic information.

GML is an eXtensible Markup Language (XML) application that is fast becoming the world standard for geographic information delivery over the Internet. Using GML as a data transfer format, geographic information can be integrated from multiple application domains, regardless of what the underlying data storage formats are. This integrated data can then be delivered via web service transactions as distinct features and displayed in a Web browser using any selected map style.

The main goal of this project was to develop a prototype Transportation Spatial Information Infrastructure (TSII) that employs two OGC Web Map Services (WMS) to deliver real-time traffic data as a Scalable Vector Graphics (SVG) map to the end user. By refreshing the SVG map, updated readings from traffic sensors are pulled from a Web Feature Service (WFS) and redisplayed on a colour-coded traffic congestion map. A Web Registry Service (WRS) is an online web service used to discover the component WFSs, WMSs and GML data sets of the TSII. More specifically, the TSII comprises the following:

- A GML Application Schema for ITS based on the Canada ITS Data Element Registry, representing a simplified traffic model chosen to illustrate the handling and integration of dynamic traffic information;
- OGC-compliant implementations of a WFS to integrate and distribute geographic information encoded as GML;
- OGC-compliant implementations of a WMS used to style and display GML data received from a WFS;
- An OGC-compliant implementation of the ebRIM profile of the Catalogue for the Web, otherwise known as a WRS used to discover Web services and GML datasets related to the TSII;
- A WFS Gateway, which converts real-time Ontario Ministry of Transportation (MTO) and City of Toronto sensor data to GML and transfers this to a WFS.

Galdos Systems provided its own implementations of these web services for use in this project, namely Cartilinea (WFS), FreeStyler (WMS), and Indicio (WRS/Catalogue).
The aim was to develop a prototype TSII complex enough to capture the information necessary to display a traffic flow map similar to the MTO COMPASS traffic flow map in Figure 1-1.

One of the main advantages of adopting an open standard solution over a proprietary one is interoperability, which leads to lower overall costs of maintaining data. Interoperability is demonstrated in this project by integrating static and dynamic transportation data from multiple sources. The sources of data in this project are as follows:

- Road and highway data, including geometry and nonspatial metadata obtained from NAVTEQ, a well-known data supplier worldwide;
- Road and waterbody map data from an ArcSDE/Oracle database delivered via an OGC-compliant WMS hosted by the GIS vendor, Intergraph;
- Loop detector data from MTO, including identification, functionality, real-time sensor readings, and location along Highway 401 in the metro Toronto area;
- Changeable Message sign information from MTO, including real-time message display, identification, and location along Highway 401 in the metro Toronto area;
- Loop detector data from the City of Toronto, including identification, functionality, real-time sensor readings, and location along Don Valley Parkway, Gardener Expressway, and Lake Shore Drive;
• Changeable Message sign information from the City of Toronto, including real-time message display, identification, and location along Don Valley Parkway, Gardener Expressway, and Lake Shore Drive;
• Traffic incident reports from MTO;
• Traffic incident reports from the City of Toronto.

Note that the TSII enables other sources of static and dynamic data (weather sensors, GPS devices, etc.) to be integrated with the existing traffic data, which could then be displayed with the traffic information on the same map.

2 APPROACH

In the first phase of this R&D project, an extensive literature review was conducted as summarized in Section 2.1.

2.1 Literature Review

The articles, books, XML vocabularies, and other documentation that were studied in the literature review phase of this project are as follows:

• TMDD Center-to-Center Concept of Operations and Requirements Standard [1],
• Geographic Data Files (GDF) [2],
• ITS Architecture for Canada [3],
• MTO ITS Internet Applications: Data Exchange Standards Report [4],
• MTO ITS Internet Applications: Web Site Review Report [5],
• TransXML [6],
• TranXML [7],
• TourML [8],
• Open Location Services (OLS) [9],
• XML in Transportation [10],
• Architecting with RM-ODP [11],
• Modelling the Impact of ITS on Surface Goods Movement in Canada [12],
• Study on ITS Applications within the Canadian Trucking Industry [13].

The literature review was followed by the requirements analysis phase of this project, in which the following tasks were performed:

• XML grammars related to transportation were considered for use in the TSII and a program of co-operation was initiated with an XML-based transportation project in the U.S.A. called TransXML;
• GIS use cases from ITS practice were listed and a subset of these were targeted for implementation in this project;
• Candidate classes from the ITS Architecture for Canada were selected for implementation.

The following subsections provide the results and relevant details of the above tasks.
2.1.1 XML Grammars for Transportation

The XML grammars related to transportation that were reviewed and considered for use in this project were:

- TranXML
- TransXML
- TourML
- GDFXML

A brief summary of the findings for each XML grammar is given below under the corresponding heading.

**TranXML**

TranXML was originally developed in 2001 by Transentric (www.transentric.com) and has been maintained by the Open Applications Group (OAGI) as of November 2002. TranXML is an XML solution being proposed as an industry standard for e-Commerce related transactions between shippers and carriers. It was created specifically to serve needs surrounding the procurement and delivery of transportation and logistics services required for supply chain execution. TranXML is intended to supplement the extensive investment in EDI (Electronic Data Interchange—an ANSI standard for transportation) that has powered the transportation industry for many years. Most of the elements defined in the TranXML set of schemas are specific to commercial motor carrier transactions and are not entirely relevant to this TSII project. The schema elements defined by TranXML are summarized at www.transentric.com.

**TransXML**

The U.S. TransXML project that started in 2004 is led by Cambridge Systematics Inc. and funded by the U.S. National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRP). The main objective of this project is to develop broadly accepted XML schemas for exchange of transportation data. Note that the TransXML project excludes ITS, and so has limited overlap with this TSII project. Galdos extended an offer to Cambridge Systematics to cooperate with the TransXML project team and this offer was accepted. The result of this cooperation was that GML was adopted as the standard baseline for the TransXML schemas.

**TourML**

Tourism markup language (TourML) developed in 2003 by the Open Tourism Consortium (OTC) is an XML encoding for the transport and storage of tourism information. TourML defines abstract objects, events of interest and (roughly 65) concrete tourist schema objects such as Hotels, Restaurants, MovieTheatres, Banks, etc. in the main schema file 1-0.xsd. TourML is extensible in the sense that schema elements have named complex types enabling the creation of application schemas that can define extensions or restrictions to the existing types. The elements and types in TourML are beyond the scope of this TSII project, but are recommended for consideration in future extensions to this project.
**GDFXML**

GDFXML is an XML application schema for Geographic Data Files (GDF). In GDFXML, generic feature classes are defined, such as PointFeature, LineFeature and AreaFeature, each having a geometry property (Point, Line, or Area) as indicated by the name. The attributes of each of these classes are uniform for all features of that geometry type. Feature and attribute codes are then checked against feature and attribute catalogues to determine the feature type and its properties, respectively. This approach to the definition of features and attributes in GDFXML goes against the spirit of the feature model of GML, where concrete features and their properties are meant to be defined using semantically meaningful element names in application schemas. Although the feature models of GDFXML and GML differ, the relevant features (roads, highways) in the transportation theme of the GDF feature catalogue are modelled in GML (see Appendix A) for use in this project.

### 2.1.2 GIS Use Cases in ITS Practice

This section presents a summary of the GIS use cases employed or needed in current ITS practice. A list of these GIS use cases is provided here:

1. Discover and retrieve transportation/service objects by spatial queries and/or attribute filter
2. Share and manage traffic information, including incidents/events, traffic/road conditions, vehicle operational status
3. Status and control of traffic devices
4. CRS conversion and management
5. Map production/maintenance
6. Commercial/transit vehicle management
7. Route guidance

Most of the use cases listed above can be handled directly by combining WFS, WMS, and WRS transactions. The exception is use case 7 (route guidance), which can be handled by a Web Processing Service, currently an OGC draft implementation specification. The targeted use cases for this prototype are the first five (a to e) above.

### 2.1.3 Physical Architecture of ITS Architecture for Canada

The Canadian ITS Physical Architecture is organized into four classes: Travellers, Centres, Vehicles, and Wayside. In this R&D project a representative subsystem from each of four classes will be implemented. Figure 2.1-1 illustrates the classes and subsystems of the Canadian ITS Physical Architecture.
Targeted Subsystems

The subsystems targeted for implementation in this project are based on the summary of GIS use cases listed in Section 2.1.2 and the available traffic sensor data from Toronto area highways at the University of Toronto ITS Lab (see Section 3.3). Table 3.1-1 lists the subsystems and the associated Process Specifications (PSpecs) that are implemented in the TSII.

Table 2.1-1 Subsystems and Process Specifications Implemented in TSII

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Subsystem</th>
<th>Process Specifications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Centres</td>
<td>Traffic Management</td>
<td>1.1.4.1-Retrieve traffic data, 1.1.2.2-Process traffic data, 1.3.4.1-Retrieve incident data, 1.3.3-Respond to current incidents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayside</td>
<td>Roadway</td>
<td>1.1.1.1-Process traffic sensor data, 1.6.1.1-Detect roadway events, 1.1.4-Manage data collection and monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicles</td>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>6.2.2-Prepare and output in-vehicle displays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travellers</td>
<td>Remote Traveller Support</td>
<td>6.3.2-Inform traveller</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2.1-1 Overview of the Canadian ITS Physical Architecture V1.1
2.2 TSII USE CASES

The traffic condition and incident reporting service provides facilities for systems and users to report traffic conditions using the WFS gateway by generating and submitting WFS transactions to the Traffic Model Database and Traffic Observation Database. The traffic incident reporting and traffic condition reporting use cases are detailed in Subsections 2.2.2 and 2.2.7. A user (e.g. traveller or dispatcher) of the TSII can retrieve traffic conditions and incidents by accessing the traffic model database and Traffic Observable Database. The traffic condition and incident retrieving use cases are detailed in Subsections 2.2.3, 2.2.6, and 2.2.8. A typical scenario that fits the sequence of use cases described in the following subsections is represented by the following sequence of events:

- A traffic incident, such as a car accident, occurs.
- The details of the traffic accident, including the time, location and roads affected, are reported.
- Notification of the traffic incident is broadcasted to subscribers including emergency services, highway maintenance, transit dispatchers, vehicle travellers (via changeable message signs, onboard WFS, radio), etc.
- A user of the TSII, such as any one of the subscribers listed above, requests the details of the traffic incident.
- Road sensors measuring mean speed and traffic volume collect data on traffic conditions, which are reported to a dynamic traffic model.
- The user requests the traffic conditions along a travel route, which may be affected by the traffic incident.
- The traffic incident and traffic conditions along the selected route are displayed to the user as a colour-coded map overlaid on a road map.

The following subsections describe the role of the actors (users and web services), a text description, and a corresponding diagram for each use case. It is assumed in the following use cases that an access control service is already in place that restricts access to the traffic data, based on some security policy. For example, users will only be able to create an incident report if they are authorized to access the WFS Gateway.
### 2.2.1 Actors

**Human actors**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actor</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>User</td>
<td>A traveller, dispatcher, traffic incident reporter or traffic incident subscriber</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**System actors**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actor</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WFS Gateway</td>
<td>Converts traffic sensor and incident data to WFS transactions (Insert, Update) to the dynamic traffic model and incident databases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road Network Server</td>
<td>A WFS that stores and distributes static road network data representing the 400 series highways around Toronto</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic Model Database (TMD)</td>
<td>A WFS that stores and distributes traffic condition data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic Observation Database (TOD)</td>
<td>A WFS that stores and distributes traffic observables, including loop detector, traffic incident report and CMS data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRS Registry</td>
<td>A WRS that stores and allows for the discovery of CRS data (2D, and 1D Linear Reference Systems) and transformations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FreeStyler</td>
<td>A WMS that styles and displays GML data as a map</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Listener</td>
<td>A component of a WFS that is configured to detect events and then trigger appropriate actions (e.g. replicate or create new features), which are specified by policies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.2.2 Traffic Incident Reporting

**Use case text description**

**Description**

This use case describes how a traffic incident is reported to the *Traffic Observation Database (TOD)* WFS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>High</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Actors**

1. *User*
2. *WFS Gateway*
3. *Traffic Observation Database (TOD)* WFS

**Pre-conditions**

1. The *User* must be authenticated and be authorized to complete a Traffic report.

**Events**

1. The *User* creates an incident report in a predefined format by filling in the available fields.
2. The report is submitted to the ITS Lab.
3. The report is converted to a GML *TrafficIncident* feature and submitted to the *WFS Gateway*.
4. The *WFS Gateway* creates and sends an Insert Feature transaction to *TOD*.
5. *TOD* responds with Transaction Results.

**Alternate Paths**

**Post-conditions**

1. Upon successful insertion of *TrafficIncident*, the *TOD* reports (push replicates) the newly inserted traffic incident results to all subscribing services (WFSs).

**Notes**

Subscribers to traffic incident information may be any users of the transportation system with access to a WFS in the TSII, e.g. dispatchers, passengers, drivers, etc.

**Use case diagram—Traffic Incident Reporting**

![Use case diagram](image-url)
2.2.3 Traffic Incident Replication

Use case text description

Description
This use case describes how a traffic incident is replicated by the Traffic Observation Database (TOD) to the Traffic Model Database (TMD)

Priority
High

Release
1

Actors
1. Traffic Observation Database (TOD)
2. Listener
3. Traffic Model Database (TMD)

Pre-conditions
1. The TOD receives an Insert or Update transaction from the WFS Gateway

Events
1. The Listener detects an Insert IncidentReport in the transaction log of the TOD.
2. The TOD responds by performing the same Insert IncidentReport transaction to all subscribing WFSs (Users).
3. The subscribing WFS responds with Transaction Results.

Alternate Paths

Post-conditions
1. Upon successful insertion of TrafficIncident, the TOD reports (push replicates) the newly inserted traffic incident results to all subscribing services (WFSs).

Notes
Subscribers to traffic incident information may be any users of the transportation system with access to a WFS in the TSII, e.g. dispatchers, passengers, drivers, etc.

Use case diagram—Traffic Incident Replication

WFS Gateway  Traffic Observation Database (TOD)  Traffic Model Database (TMD)

1  2  3
### 2.2.4 Changeable Message Sign Reporting

**Use case text description**

**Description**
This use case describes how a CMS is reported to the *Traffic Observation Database* (TOD).

**Priority**
High

**Release**
2

**Actors**
1. *WFS Gateway*
2. *Traffic Observation Database (TOD) WFS*

**Pre-conditions**

**Events**
1. The current CMS text display is received by the ITS Lab
2. The CMS text display is converted to a GML *MessageSignDisplay* feature and submitted to the *WFS Gateway*.
3. The *WFS Gateway* creates and sends an Insert Feature transaction to *TOD*.
4. *TOD* responds with Feature Insert Results.

**Alternate Paths**

**Post-conditions**
1. Upon successful insertion of *MessageSignDisplay*, the *TOD* reports (push replicates) the newly inserted *MessageSignDisplay* results to all subscribing services (WFSs).

**Notes**
Subscribers to traffic information may be any users of the transportation system with access to a WFS in the TSII, e.g. dispatchers, passengers, drivers, etc.

**Use case diagram— Changeable Message Sign Reporting**
2.2.5 User Requests Traffic Incident Details

Use case text description

Description
This use case describes how a user (such as a traffic incident subscriber) with access to GDS requests the TrafficIncident Feature.

Priority
High

Release
2

Actors
1. User
2. Traffic Model Database (TMD) WFS

Pre-conditions
1. The User must be authenticated and authorized to access the TOD.
2. The User is either a traffic incident subscriber and receives the traffic incident notification or requests the traffic incident information (pull replication).

Events
1. The User submits a Get Feature request for TrafficIncident with the given ID to the TMD.
2. TMD returns the IncidentReport feature.

Alternate Paths

Post-conditions

Notes

Use case diagram—User Requests Traffic Incident Details

Traffic Model Database (TMD)

User (e.g. traffic incident subscriber)
2.2.6 User Requests Traffic Incident Details in a Different CRS

**Use case text description**

**Description**
This use case describes how a user (e.g. traffic incident subscriber) with an onboard WFS requests the **TrafficIncident** with coordinate values in a specific CRS.

**Priority**
Medium

**Release**
2

**Actors**
1. User
2. Traffic Model Database (TMD)
3. Coordinate Reference System (CRS) registry WRS

**Pre-conditions**
1. The **User** must be authenticated and be authorized to access the **TMD**.
2. The **User** receives the traffic incident notification including the feature type (**IncidentReport**) and ID.

**Events**
1. The **User** submits a Get Feature request for **IncidentReport** with the given ID to the **TMD**.
2. **TMD** returns the **IncidentReport** feature with all coordinate values in the default CRS (e.g. linear reference system).
3. The **User** submits a more refined Get Feature request for **IncidentReport** with the given ID and CRS specified (e.g. 2D UTM projection).
4. **TMD** requests from **CRS registry** the coordinate conversion of all coordinate values from source to target CRS.
5. **TMD** returns **IncidentReport** feature with coordinate values in specified CRS.

**Alternate Paths**

**Post-conditions**

**Notes**

**Use case diagram— User Requests Traffic Incident Details in a Different CRS**

[Diagram showing the interactions between User, TMD, and CRS Registry]
2.2.7 Traffic Condition Reporting

Use case text description

Description
This use case describes how traffic conditions as measured by road highway sensors are updated to the Traffic Model Database (TMD).

Priority
High

Release
1

Actors
1. WFS Gateway
2. Traffic Model Database (TMD) WFS

Pre-conditions

Events
1. The road sensor data (mean speed, traffic volume, vehicle occupancy) is converted to a LoopDetectorObservation and submitted to WFS Gateway.
2. WFS Gateway Inserts LoopDetectorObservation to TOD
3. The Listener detects the inserted observation, creates the dynamic Link feature and insert or updates it to the TMD.
4. TMD responds with Insert/Update Feature Results.

Alternate Paths

Post-conditions
1. Under specific conditions, the TMD may report (push replicate) the Link feature to all subscribing services (WFSs).

Notes
Subscribers to traffic model information may be any users of the transportation system with access to a WFS in the TSII, e.g. dispatchers, passengers, drivers, etc.

Use case diagram—Traffic Condition Reporting
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### 2.2.8 User Requests a Map

#### Use case text description

**Description**
This use case describes how a user (e.g. vehicle traveller) with an onboard WMS/WFS requests a map with colour-coded traffic speed conditions overlaid.

**Priority**
High

**Release**
1

**Actors**
1. User  
2. FreeStyler WMS  
3. Traffic Model Database (TMD) WFS  
4. Road Network Server

**Pre-conditions**
1. The User must be authenticated and authorized to access the TMD.

**Events**
1. The User selects the bounding box to view (e.g. coordinates of box containing his/her current location and intended route).
2. The User selects the Feature layer(s) to view (e.g. Roads, Links, IncidentReports, LoopDetectors), which can come from multiple distinct WFSs (e.g. TMD, TOD, and Road Network Server).
3. The User selects a traffic congestion style (colour-coded Links).
4. The User submits a Get Map request to FreeStyler.
5. FreeStyler submits Get Feature request to TMD, TOD, and Road Network Server.
6. TMD, TOD, and Road Network Server return features to FreeStyler.
7. FreeStyler displays map with overlaid colour-coded traffic speed conditions.

**Alternate Paths**

**Post-conditions**

**Notes**
The colour coding (e.g. a red to green scale where red represents 0 mean speed, green represents highway speed limit or greater) is determined by a user-defined traffic congestion style.
3 TSII COMPONENTS AND ARCHITECTURE

The TSII prototype is implemented using four Web Feature Servers, two Web Map Servers, one Web Registry Server at Galdos Systems and the WFS Gateway at the University of Toronto ITS Lab. Data and communication between these servers in this model are intended to represent the dynamic and distributed data handling of a real-world Intelligent Transportation System. Sections 3.1-3.3 provide a detailed description of the components of the TSII and their inter-relationships.

3.1 Overview

Figure 3.1-1 gives a high-level overview of the TSII prototype architecture. The coloured boxes represent system servers and the labelled arrows indicate the type of transaction executed between the servers. The description of each server/system is summarized in Table 3.1-1.
Table 3.1-1 Descriptions of System Servers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System/Server</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WFS Gateway</td>
<td>Converts traffic sensor, incident and CMS data to WFS transactions (Insert, Update) to the dynamic traffic model and incident WFSs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Static TSII Object DB</td>
<td>A WFS that stores and distributes static objects such as sensors and road network data representing the 400 series highways</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic Model DB</td>
<td>A WFS that stores and distributes traffic link data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observation DB</td>
<td>A WFS that stores and distributes loop detector observations, incident reports and current CMS displays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRS Registry</td>
<td>A WRS that stores and allows for the discovery of CRS data (2D, and 1D Linear Reference Systems) and transformations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FreeStyler WMS</td>
<td>A WMS that styles and displays GML data as a map</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Listener</td>
<td>A component of a WFS that detects an incoming transaction and triggers an appropriate action (e.g. replicate or create new feature)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The description of each WFS transaction is summarized in Table 3.1-2.

Table 3.1-2 Descriptions of WFS Transactions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transaction</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Insert Feature</td>
<td>Adds a new feature or features with unique ID to the WFS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Update Feature</td>
<td>Modifies an existing feature or features in the WFS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Get Feature</td>
<td>Retrieves a feature or features from the WFS. The features retrieved can be selected by feature type, feature ID, spatial location, or by arbitrary property/attribute values</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Describe Feature</td>
<td>Retrieves the GML schema definition of the feature or features from the WFS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delete Feature</td>
<td>Deletes an existing feature or features in the WFS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.2 WFS Gateway

The Web Feature Service Gateway resides at the University of Toronto and consists of PHP scripts developed by the ITS Lab at the University of Toronto to convert the real-time MTO and City of Toronto data to GML Observations and then output to an XML file. Insert transaction requests are then created with the newly created XML file as payload and wrapped in the appropriate `<wfs:transaction>` tags. Java classes developed by Galdos Systems Inc. automatically submit the WFS Insert transaction over the Internet using the http post protocol.
3.3 Dynamic Traffic Data Handling

The traffic data is delivered to the ITS Lab at the University of Toronto from two serial ports at 19.2k baud, one for MTO and one for the City of Toronto. The physical interface is serial (RS-232)—a multi-kilometre "virtual" serial connection is provided by Siemens OTN fibre optic equipment. The incoming data format is binary, defined by some C structs and then serialized. An application then reads the data coming into the ITS Lab and stores it in a local MySQL database.

3.3.1 Loop Detector Readings

The most frequent source of dynamic traffic data received by the prototype TSII from MTO and the City of Toronto are loop detector readings. The loop detectors are located in each traffic lane roughly every half kilometre along the Toronto highways. The readings are taken every 20 seconds, measuring average speed, traffic volume and vehicle occupancy.

Sample loop detector data collected in a 20 second interval is shown in Figure 3.3-1.
Traffic Data from VDS 401DE0010DWC

Location: W OF KEELE CL

Upstream VDS: 401DE0020DWC --> Downstream VDS: 401DW0010DWC

Individual Loop data:
Lane: 1, US_Vol = 2, DS_Vol = 2, US_Occ = 1, DS_Occ = 1, Speed = 105
Lane: 2, US_Vol = 10, DS_Vol = 10, US_Occ = 10, DS_Occ = 10, Speed = 100
Lane: 3, US_Vol = 11, DS_Vol = 11, US_Occ = 11, DS_Occ = 16, Speed = 74

Overall averages for this VDS: Volume = 7.7 (veh/20sec), Occupancy = 8.2, Speed = 93.0 km/h

Data as of 2004-05-28 13:24:57

Graph of data available in the last hour:
The loop detector readings for each loop detector get converted to GML, which are encoded as a special type of Feature called a LoopDetectorObservation (see Appendix A for detailed definition). The properties values of the LoopDetectorObservation store the speed, traffic volume and vehicle occupancy readings. Other transportation features in the TSII that depend on these readings (e.g. the Link feature) are automatically created or updated as each LoopDetectorObservation is received by the Observation WFS. The Link feature represents a traffic link, which is a segment of the highway associated with a cluster of sensors (loop detectors) that cover all lanes in a single direction of traffic. Traffic links store the length, average speed along, and transit time of the highway segment, where average speed and transit time are derived from the loop detector observations. A “Listener” component of the Observation WFS monitors the transaction log and performs an Insert Link feature transaction to the Traffic Model WFS when a LoopDetectorObservation is inserted in the Observation WFS (see Section 2.2 for the technical details). The loop detector data flow is illustrated in Figure 3.3-2.

3.3.2 Incident Reports

Incident reports are logged by human operators and conform to a specific file format. The City of Toronto and MTO each have different incident report formats and are both supported by the GML application schema for ITS. The City of Toronto incident report is structured as follows:
/* Used for static Event Data */
short ateID,
float latitude,
float longitude,
char* mainStreet,
char* crossStreet

/* Used for Event Status update */
short ateID,
char ateStatus, /* takes values OK(1), ERROR(0) */
char* secondCrossStreet,
char incidentType, /* takes values SCHEDULED(1), UNSCHEDULED(2), OTHER(3) */
char incidentStatus, /* takes values IN_PROGRESS(1), CLEARED(3), OTHER(4) */
char incidentCategory, /* see above */
char incidentSeverity, /* takes values LOW(1), HIGH(3) */
char* direction,
char* lanesAffected,
char days, /* Duration */
char hours, /* in BCD format */
char minutes
The MTO incident report is structured as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACTIVE INCIDENTS</th>
<th>TYPE</th>
<th>SCALE</th>
<th>INPUT</th>
<th>OUTPUT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INCIDENT_KEY</td>
<td>CHAR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X(26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>YYYY/MM/DD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIME</td>
<td>NUM</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>^^^,^^^</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VDS_ID</td>
<td>CHAR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X(12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VDS_NUMBER</td>
<td>NUM</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>^^^</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INCIDENT_STATUS</td>
<td>NUM</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>^</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>API_DOWNSTREAM_VDS</td>
<td>CHAR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X(12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPERATOR_REDECLARE</td>
<td>CHAR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALGORITHM (3)</td>
<td>CHAR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INC_AUTOMATIC_CMS_FLAG</td>
<td>CHAR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONTROLLER_WARNING</td>
<td>CHAR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INC_DETECTION_CYCLE</td>
<td>NUM</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>^</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>END_OF_QUEUE_VDS_ID</td>
<td>CHAR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X(12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CURRENT_EOQ_VDS</td>
<td>CHAR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X(12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DATASET_TIME</td>
<td>NUM</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>^^^:^^^</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOURCE</td>
<td>NUM</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>^</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONFIRMED_INCIDENT</td>
<td>CHAR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.CONFIRMED_TIME</td>
<td>NUM</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>^^^:^^^</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.FALSE_INCIDENT</td>
<td>CHAR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.FTMS_USER</td>
<td>NUM</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>^</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPERATOR_INPUT</td>
<td>CHAR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X(54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INCIDENT_ZONE</td>
<td>CHAR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INCIDENT_TYPE</td>
<td>NUM</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>^</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STRATEGY</td>
<td>NUM</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>^</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLOCKAGE_PATTERN</td>
<td>CHAR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INCIDENT_CONDITION</td>
<td>NUM</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>^</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REC_RESPONSE</td>
<td>NUM</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>^^^</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMPL_RESPONSE</td>
<td>NUM</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>^^^</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESPONSE_CHANGE</td>
<td>CHAR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CURRENT_BLO_PATTERN</td>
<td>CHAR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLOCKAGE_VSID</td>
<td>CHAR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X(12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CURRENT_INC_CONDITION</td>
<td>NUM</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>^</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CURRENT_STRATEGY</td>
<td>NUM</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>^</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CURRENT_IMPL_RESPONSE</td>
<td>NUM</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>^^^</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPDATE_TIME</td>
<td>NUM</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>^^^:^^^</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUMBER_OF_UPDATES</td>
<td>NUM</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>^</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TERMINATION_TIME</td>
<td>NUM</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>^^^:^^^</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLEARING_ALGORITHM</td>
<td>CHAR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TERM_CONF_TIME</td>
<td>NUM</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>^^^:^^^</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TERMINATING_OPERATOR</td>
<td>NUM</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>^</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMMENT_AREA</td>
<td>CHAR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X(240)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.COMMENT (3)</td>
<td>CHAR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X(80)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Observables.xsd schema defines an IncidentReport feature as an XML schema element with child property elements (some optional) that could represent the fields in either one of these two formats (see Appendix A for details.)

When an incident report is received by the ITS Lab, the WFS Gateway converts it to GML and performs an Insert/Update WFS transaction to the Traffic Observation Database (WFS) at Galdos Systems. Users of the TSII with access to a WFS can subscribe to receive traffic incident reports. In this case, each IncidentReport is automatically replicated to the user as soon as the IncidentReport is received by the Observation database. A “Listener” component of the Observation database monitors the transaction.
log and performs the same Insert *IncidentReport* feature transaction to the subscribing WFS when an *IncidentReport* is inserted in the Observation database (see Section 2.2 for the technical details). The incident report data flow is illustrated in Figure 3.3-3.

A Listener component of the Observation DB detects that an Incident Report has been inserted in the Observation DB and can automatically (push) replicate the Incident Report to any subscribing WFS.

![Figure 3.3-3 Incident Report Listener and Insert Feature Action](image)

### 3.3.3 Changeable Message Signs

The MTO and City of Toronto provide the current message display of 40 Changeable Message Signs (CMS) at various locations along the Toronto highways. When a CMS display is received by the ITS Lab, the WFS Gateway will convert it to a *MessageSignDisplay* feature in GML and perform an Insert/Update WFS transaction to the Traffic Observation database (WFS) at Galdos Systems. Users of the TSII with a WFS can receive the current *MessageSignDisplay* by retrieving it from the Observation database (WFS) (see Section 2.2 for the technical details) or also by push replication as in the case of incident reports (not depicted in Figure 3.3-3). The former case of the message sign display data flow is illustrated in Figure 3.3-4.
A user requiring the current Message Sign Display can get (pull) the corresponding feature from the Observation DB.

**Figure 3.3-4  Insert MessageSignDisplay Transaction**

### 3.4 TSII Schema Organization

The TSII application schema consists of four XSD files organized as follows, where solid arrows indicate inclusion of the target schema by the source and dashed arrows indicate import of the target schema by the source.
Each of the four schemas is stored in the WRS and in each of the corresponding Web Feature Servers: Traffic Observation WFS, Traffic Model WFS, Highway WFS, Sensor WFS. Appendix A lists the schema definitions.

4 PROCEDURE AND TESTS

4.1 Data Acquisition

Road and highway data was obtained from a data provider, NAVTEQ, in the ESRI Arcinfo format, which was then converted to GML version 2 using the FME Universal Translator. The resulting GML2 data was converted to GML version 3 using an XSLT stylesheet transformation. The stylesheet produced the final GML road and highway data that conforms to the TSII schema `Highway.xsd`. The sensor description data (location and identification) for MTO and City of Toronto Loop detectors was received from the University of Toronto ITS Lab and converted to GML using Perl scripts. This static sensor data conforms to the TSII schema `Sensor.xsd`. The traffic observables were received from the WFS Gateway and include loop detector observations, incident...
report and changeable message sign displays. The static sensor and highway data was loaded into the Highway WFS and the Sensor WFS, respectively. The observable data was loaded into the Traffic Observation WFS. The Traffic Model WFS was updated with dynamic traffic Link features automatically by the Listener/Action Manager.

4.2 Web Service Deployment

Each of the Web Feature Servers was deployed on a Galdos server with an underlying XHive (XML) database. The WMSs and WRS were also deployed on Galdos servers.

4.3 Software Extensions

The Listener component of Cartalinea was configured to “listen in” on WFS transactions (Insert, Update) and then check to see whether any existing policies apply to the type of transaction and its content, both before and after the transaction is persisted in the underlying database of the WFS. A policy was written in XACML (eXtensible Access Control Markup Language) that targets a successfully inserted LoopDetectorObservation and carries out the obligation of creating the Update Link transaction to the Traffic Model WFS.

4.4 Map Styling

The SVG map styling is encoded using OGC SLD (Styled Layer Descriptor). The colour-coding scheme of the Link features was adapted from that used by the MTO COMPASS Traffic Management System and is summarized in Table 4.4-1, where the column order is listed in order of priority. If the Average Speed is greater than zero, the colour indicated by the shown cell is used to style the Link symbol. If the average speed is 0, then the Traffic Volume column is used to determine the colour. If the Traffic Volume is zero, then the Sensor Occupancy (percentage of the 20 second interval the loop detector is triggered) column is used to determine the colour. In the case that no reading is reported from the sensor in the 20 second interval, the default values “-1” are set for Average Speed, Traffic Volume and Sensor Occupancy, and the corresponding colour code is grey.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Average Speed (km/h)</th>
<th>Traffic Volume (vehicle count/20sec/lane)</th>
<th>Sensor Occupancy (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 100</td>
<td>&gt; 0 and &lt; 4</td>
<td>0 – 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75 – 100</td>
<td>4 – 8</td>
<td>6 – 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 – 75</td>
<td>8 – 12</td>
<td>14 – 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 – 50</td>
<td>12 – 16</td>
<td>22 – 32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 0 and &lt; 25</td>
<td>&gt; 16</td>
<td>&gt; 32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.4-1 Colour-Coding Scheme for Link Features
4.5 Data Validation Tests
The Xerces J Version 2.6.2 Schema Parser was used to validate the road/highway instances, sensor instances, observation payload of the WFS Gateway insert transactions and the dynamic Link features.

4.6 WFS Transaction Tests
A WFS client developed by Galdos Systems was used to test the Insert, Get, Update, and Delete transactions on each WFS. A screen shot of the WFS client illustrating Link feature retrieval (Get transaction) from the Traffic Model WFS is shown in Figure 4.6-1.

4.7 WMS Transaction Tests
A WMS client developed by Galdos Systems was used to test the Get Map transactions. A screen shot of the WMS client illustrating feature portrayal in a standard browser of features (sensors, highways, message signs, incidents) from three of the TSII WFSs is shown in Figure 4.7-1. The TSII features are overlaid on a grid of non-highway (local) roads and Lake Ontario resulting from a Get Map request from the Galdos WMS client to an Intergraph WMS.
5 RESULTS

This project combined static road data and real-time loop detector data in a simple traffic scenario and implemented many of the basic components of the TSII using the four WFSs, two WMSs and the WFS Gateway. WFS software extensions were required and implemented to properly support the automatic update of dynamic features. In particular, the Listener/Action Manager component of Cartalinea (the Galdos WFS implementation) was developed in this project. The WFS Gateway produces LoopDetectorObservations encoded as GML from real-time MTO and City of Toronto sensor readings and supports IncidentReports and current MessageSignDisplays. The Galdos WMS client displays a road map requested from another OGC-compliant WMS from Intergraph and simultaneously portrays the TSII features including colour-coded traffic congestion overlaid on the road map in a standard web browser.

5.1 Portraying TSII features

The thin WMS client screenshot in Figure 5.1-1 portrays the TSII features as SVG overlaid on a road map from an Intergraph WMS to produce to a simple traffic flow diagram. When a mouse-over event is detected on a TSII feature, an HTML Tooltips text box is created to expose certain GML properties of the feature using JavaScript. The TSII Road features expose only the name (gml:name property value) when moused over, as shown in Figure 5.1-1.
The traffic Link features are segments of the highway that are colour-coded from green to red according to Table 4.4-1 in the WMS client. When moused over, the Tooltips text box lists the values of the following properties and attributes of the Link feature:

- gml:id (unique identifier)
- timeStamp (XML Schema dateTime value)
- averageSpeed (in km/h)
- occupancy (%)
- trafficVolume (vehicle count/20 sec/lane)
- averageTransitTime (average time in seconds expected to traverse the Link)

Figure 5.1-2 illustrates the Tooltips mouse-over of a Link along Don Valley Parkway.
The changeable message sign features are located along Highway 401, Don Valley Parkway, Gardener Expressway, and Lake Shore Drive, and are represented by brown square point symbols. When a message sign feature is moused over, the Tooltips text box lists the values of the following properties:

- `timeStamp` (XML Schema dateTime value)
- `text` (message display)

Figure 5.1-3 illustrates the Tooltips mouse-over of a `MessageSignDisplay` on Highway 401.
The Sensor (loop detector) features are located roughly every half kilometre along Highway 401, Don Valley Parkway, Gardener Expressway, and Lake Shore Drive, and are represented by rectangular point symbols marked with “SS” (acronym for Sensor System). When a Sensor feature is moused over, the Tooltips pop-up box lists the values measured by the loop detector (volume, occupancy, and/or speed) and the unique id.

Figure 5.1-4 illustrates the Tooltips mouse-over of a Sensor feature along Highway 401.
The *IncidentReport* features are represented by triangle point symbols marked by an exclamation point “!” when moused over. The Tooltips pop-up text box lists the values of the following properties and attributes of the Link feature:

- timestamp (XML Schema dateTime)
- category (string)
- type (“Schedule” or “Unscheduled”)
- condition (0 or 1, which corresponds to “in progress” or “cleared”)

Figure 5.1-5 illustrates the Tooltips mouse-over of an *IncidentReport* feature on Lake Shore Drive.
6 ANALYSIS

The overall performance of the TSII prototype can be measured by the total delay from the time of the sensor reading to the instant the data is displayed on screen. Note that each server used in the TSII prototype is a Windows 2000 server that uses dual 2.8 GHz Xeon processors with 2GB DDR SDRAM. The complete data path is illustrated in Figure 6.1-1 and is decomposed as a sequence of events that are labeled on the diagram. The average duration for each event is measured and briefly described in Table 6.1-1.

6.1 Data Path

The data path in Figure 6.1-1 is decomposed into a sequence of seven events as shown.
Figure 6.1-1  Data Path Decomposition

The first measured event is sensor data preparation and delivery to the ITS lab, which is broken down for the case of loop detectors as follows:

- A vehicle passes over a loop detector embedded under the road surface, creating a disturbance in electric current that is detected by the roadside Advanced Traffic Controller (ATC) device.
- Each ATC collects data (volume, occupancy and speed) over a 20-second interval for several clusters of loop detectors called Vehicle Detector Stations (VDS) in the area.
- A VAX server at MTO receives and stores the data from all ATCs on the highway.
- A process residing on the VAX server polls the database every second and listens for changes. Once a database update is detected, the current data is read and compressed (takes 2.5 seconds on average).
- The compressed data (10 KB on average) is sent through a 19.2 kbps serial link to the University of Toronto ITS lab (transit time is 11 seconds on average).
• The data received at the ITS lab is decompressed and then averaged over all lanes for each VDS before being inserted in a MySQL database (takes 0.9 seconds on average).
• A separate process polls the MTO database every 5 seconds, checking for updated CMS and Incident info. When an update is detected, the data is sent to the ITS lab immediately.

The total time delay corresponding to the sensor data preparation and delivery event is summarized in the first row of Table 6.1-1. The remaining six events in the data path sequence are described in Section 3 and the corresponding time durations are listed in Table 6.1-1. Where applicable, the primary protocol or processing technology used in each event is given in parentheses, e.g. (HTTP POST).

Table 6.1-1 TSII Prototype Performance Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EVENT</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>AVERAGE DURATION (seconds)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MTO data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sensor data preparation and delivery to ITS lab database</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>GML conversion (PHP script)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Send request via WFS Gateway (HTTP POST) including network delay</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Observation DB commit (XHIVE Database)</td>
<td>171.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Traffic DB update (Java based listener/action manager). This event is concurrent with event 4.</td>
<td>332.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Get Feature request (HTTP GET)</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Transform GML to SVG (XSLT)</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total Time</strong></td>
<td><strong>361.8</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7 CONCLUSION

The development of the prototype TSII based on GML and OGC web services shows that an open standard solution is capable of supporting dynamic traffic sensor information. Such an open standard solution has clear advantages, such as promoting interoperability, but the performance, although not unacceptable, could be improved. The performance results indicate an average lag time from sensor reading to display of roughly 6 minutes for MTO data and 4 minutes for City of Toronto data. There was insufficient time in this project for further investigation into reducing the lag time by optimizing software configuration, for example, which might have yielded better performance results.

The WMS client used in the TSII prototype retrieved and displayed SVG map data from two different web map servers, where the underlying feature data originated in different databases, with different storage formats. The WMS hosted by Galdos requested GML feature data from four WFSs with underlying XHIVE (native XML)
databases and the WMS hosted by Intergraph retrieved data from an ArcSDE/Oracle database. The TSII implementation thus demonstrated the seamless integration, distribution, and display of transportation data from multiple sources with different underlying data storage formats, thus enabling wide area plug and play of transportation information. Other sources of static and dynamic data (weather sensors, GPS devices, etc.) in any existing database can be connected to the TSII via WFS and the resulting larger pool of transportation data can then be displayed in the same map or retrieved in the same result set for processing. The OGC open standards-based TSII thus enables wide area plug and play for transportation information.
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